

**REMARKS**

In response to the Examiner's Action mailed on June 7, 2004, claims 1 to 16 are amended. The applicant hereby respectfully requests that the patent application be reconsidered.

An item-by-item response to Examiner's objections or rejections is provided in the followings:

1. *Objection to Claims Because of Informalities*

The Examiner objects to claims 12 because of the informalities in claim 12 where a term "an" should be "a".

In response to the objection, the informalities are corrected.

2. *Rejection of Claims under 35 USC §112*

The Examiner rejects claims 1-16 under 35 USC 112 because of the term preload program would require undue experimentations.

In response to the rejections, claims 1-16 are amended. The term "preloaded program" is now deleted.

3. *Rejection of Claims under 35 USC §103*

The Examiner rejects claims 1-916 under 35 USC 103 as being obvious and unpatentable under Darin Duphorne (US Patent 6,212,285) in view of Schneider (US Patent 6,422,549).

In response to the rejections, claims 1-16 are amended. The amended claims 1-16 are directed to invention not obvious under Duphorne in view of Schneider. Specifically, the amended claim 1 is directed to a method for processing an incoming e-mail comprising:

5

a) receiving said incoming e-mail into a control Web site for extracting a code from an Internet-independent unique identifier transmitted from said incoming e-mail; and

10

a)b) searching a database of said control Web site for linking said code to a stored program with stored parameters for executing said stored program using said parameters for carrying out a function intended by said sending Internet user at a destination defined by said stored parameters.

15

In the amended claim 10, the invention is directed to a network system that includes:

20

a unified access management center comprising a database for receiving and linking a network-independent preexisting-unique identifier to a stored program with program executable parameters for executing said stored program using said program executable parameters.

In the amended claim 16, the invention is directed to a network system comprising:

25

a unified access management center having an interactive database for enabling an Internet user to enter a network-independent preexisting-unique identifier for linking to a stored program with a set of program executable parameters whereby said Internet user is enabled to execute said stored program by using said program executable parameters by sending said

30

network-independent pre-existing unique identifier to said unified access management center.

Since none of the cited prior art references having a stored program with program executable parameters stored in the data base for executing the program using the stored parameters, the amend claims 1-16 would be novel and not obvious over Duphorne and Schneider and would therefore be allowable.


5

With the amended claims and the reasons provided above, the applicant hereby respectfully requests that Examiner's rejections under 35 USC § 112, and 35 USC § 103 be withdrawn and the present application be allowed.

10

Respectfully submitted  
Kehyeh Szutu

By



15

Bo-In Lin - Attorney, Registration No. 33,948  
13445 Mandoli Drive, Los Altos Hills, CA 94022  
(650) 949-0418 (Tel), (650) 949-4118 (Fax)

November 8, 2004